

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010

The Town of Walpole Planning Board held a regular meeting on Thursday, April 1, 2010 at 7:00 P.M. in the Main Meeting Room, Town Hall. The following members were present: John Conroy, Chairman (7:10 p.m.); Edward Forsberg, Vice Chairman; John Murtagh, Clerk; Richard Mazzocca (7:06 p.m.), Richard Nottebart; Donald Johnson, Town Planner, Margaret Walker, Town Engineer.

Time Cards: Mr. Forsberg moved to approve the Planner's time cards as submitted. Motion seconded by Mr. Nottebart and voted 3-0-0. Mr. Forsberg moved to approve the secretary's time cards. Motion seconded by Mr. Nottebart and voted 3-0-0.

Evaluation: Mr. Murtagh moved to accept the secretary's evaluation. Motion seconded by Mr. Nottebart and voted 3-0-0.

Minutes: Mr. Forsberg moved to accept the minutes of March 18, 2010. Motion seconded by Mr. Murtagh. Mr. Forsberg asked that the following clarification be added to Page 6, paragraph 2 of the minutes: "Based on the presentation and facts presented tonight, I would have to vote in favor of the zoning change. In lieu of the Water and Sewer Commission's concerns, I would like this hearing continued in order to hear further from Sewer and Water." Motion voted 5-0-0 with Mr. Forsberg's clarification.

Article 31: Mr. Conroy read a copy of a letter dated March 24, 2010 from Atty. Philip Macchi, 1256 Washington Street, Norwood to Jon Rockwood, Moderator, asking that Article 31 be withdrawn without discussion at town meeting.

Legacy Lane: Mr. Conroy asked Ms. Walker and Mr. Johnson if they were all set with the following documents that have been submitted for acceptance: Form F Covenant, 6' Roadway Easement, Conveyance of Easement and Utilities, Operation & Maintenance Agreement; Sight Clearance Easement; Revocation of Approval of the Prior Subdivision; and the Definitive Plan. Both Ms. Walker and Mr. Johnson stated yes.

- Mr. Conroy moved to accept the Form F Covenant for Legacy Lane as presented. Motion seconded by Mr. Forsberg and voted 5-0-0.
- Mr. Conroy moved to accept the 6' Roadway Easement for Legacy Lane as presented. Motion seconded by Mr. Forsberg and voted 5-0-0.
- Mr. Conroy moved to accept the Conveyance of Easements and Utilities for Legacy Lane as presented. Motion seconded by Mr. Forsberg and voted 5-0-0.
- Mr. Conroy moved to accept the O&M Agreement for Legacy Lane as presented. Motion seconded by Mr. Forsberg and voted 5-0-0.
- Mr. Conroy moved to accept the Sight Clearance Easement for Legacy Lane as presented. Motion seconded by Mr. Forsberg and voted 5-0-0.

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (2)

Mr. Conroy moved to endorse the Revocation of Approval of Subdivision Plan and Release of Covenants for Malo Terrace as presented by Atty. Philip Macchi. Motion seconded by Mr. Forsberg and voted 5-0-0.

Mr. Conroy moved to endorse the definitive plan for Legacy Lane as presented by Atty. Philip Macchi and signed by the Town Clerk that the appeal period has ended and no appeals have been taken. Motion seconded by Mr. Murtagh and voted 5-0-0.

Mr. Macchi took the endorsed mylars and documents and will return copies to the office after recording.

The Trails Subdivision: Mr. Merrikin stated that town counsel asked that a signature block for the Selectmen be added to the plans. Mr. Conroy stated we need an explanation from her regarding that request. We don't care as it will remain private. He also questioned whether we should bind another board to this subdivision.

B&C Floral, Main Street: Mr. Conroy moved to endorse the site plan as submitted and reviewed by Mr. Johnson and Ms. Walker. Motion seconded by Mr. Forsberg and voted 5-0-0.

7:30 P.M. Town Meeting Articles 24, 25, 26: Mr. Conroy stated he will take Article 26 first as it will be the quicker of the three articles. He also explained the process.

Article 26: Mr. Conroy read the public hearing notice and stated it was submitted by the Board of Selectmen. Mr. Boynton, town administrator, was present to speak to the article. He stated that the present sign bylaw doesn't allow the businesses in town to take advantage of today's technology. Sharon, Foxboro and Norwood have this new sign bylaw. The proposed bylaw doesn't allow signage to be neon or flash, but is a message sign that allows a business to show a logo, hours of operation or something unique to their business. Minuteman Truck asked them to put forth this article. We presently have businesses up and down Route 1 that should have the ability to send a message. Mr. Boynton presented a power point detailing the purpose of this article, what will and won't change from what we presently have, and examples.

Mr. Mazzocca feels that the sign could be a distraction to drivers on Route 1. He also thinks that the one second change time should be reconsidered. Mr. Boynton doesn't feel the time changing affects the overall intent of the article. He feels it should be run by town counsel and it could probably be eliminated by a motion from the floor. Mr. Forsberg agrees with Mr. Mazzocca and feels 4-5 seconds would be better as the sign cannot be read in one second. He also questioned the .3 lighting. Ms. Mercandetti, Economic Development, stated that is an industry standard in terms of brightness and it is not extremely bright. Mr. Forsberg asked if it is limited to certain colors and Ms. Mercandetti stated no.

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (3)

Mr. Murtagh stated this will give the town an opportunity to come up to today's standards along with Foxboro, Sharon and Norwood. Mr. Nottebart agrees with the other board members that there should be a longer delay before changing.

Jeff Podell, Sandra Road: looked at the signs in Norwood and Foxboro and feels they are less glaring. He recommends approval of this as written. Mr. Conroy suggested they research the other towns just to see what they are using. Ms. Mercandetti stated she doesn't know if there is a specific time in their bylaw.

Rob DeChristofaro, Moosehill Road: asked if the town has a limitation on the number of these signs in a s.f. area. Mr. Conroy stated yes, but this change in the sign bylaw does not allow any more signs. Mr. Boynton stated that each sign is about \$100,000 so he doesn't think it there will be a big rush for businesses to change to this. Mr. DeChristofaro feels the auto dealers will like this and then .3 will become .9. Mr. Boynton feels that is a valid point. Mr. Conroy stated that the number of signs on Route 1 is at maximum. They would simply be swapping them out, not putting up new ones. Mr. Boynton stated that there is a hidden benefit of added tax revenue we would get for the signs. Mr. Conroy asked if the signs are programmed and controlled from the store or are they wireless and could someone hack into it.

Gary Bolduc, Account Manager Poyant Signs (vendor for Minuteman): it is done by computer and couldn't be hacked as there is a password.

There were no further comments or questions.

Mr. Conroy moved to recommend favorable action on Article 26. Motion seconded by Mr. Murtagh and voted 5-0-0.

8:00 P.M. Articles 24 and 25: Mr. Conroy read the public hearing notices for both articles and stated they will be discussed together. Michael Boynton was present to speak to both articles. He stated he appreciates the opportunity to take both articles together. He stated we previously had a Zoning Rewrite Committee that made some recommendations which were on the town meeting warrant last Fall, but it was decided to hold off on going forward due to so many questions and issues. One of those issues was Biotech. He stated the town needs to learn what Biotech is and to move forward in a transparent manner. There have been numerous discussions with himself, Stephanie Mercandetti, Don Johnson, and Ilana Quirk. Also, Sam Lipson, a Biotech expert, did a presentation for the Selectmen on Biotech is and what it isn't. They found out what why regulations were in place and what those regulations were. They also reached out to Siemens to find out what they actually did at the Coney Street facility. All of these presentations are available on the town's website. What is before town meeting are two articles that have a sister set of regulations before the Board of Health which are designed to 1) establish a base line and set of guidelines under which permits will be applied for, reviewed and issued with standards used; 2) establishment of a Level 1 and 2 within the

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (4)

community. We had generic definitions, but now we have specific ones.

Mr. Boynton stated that Siemens is a 1500 s.f. Level 3 facility now. Siemens has chosen not to use that facility pending discussion here. Action at the Fall town meeting would have prevented it all together. Siemens has been working with the Town in the development of the town meeting article. Both he and the Selectmen have studied this, but are not expert on it; however, he is far more knowledgeable today than he was before. These articles were put forth by the Selectmen. Mr. Boynton presented a power point on these two articles discussing Bio safety for Levels 1 through 4. He stated that a Level 4 use is not being proposed and there are no plans on the table today to do so.

Mr. Boynton stated that the proposed article makes the Planning Board the permit granting authority mainly because they are an elected board, not appointed one. They would be the first step in a peer review process. Siemens has been in town for 30 years and has worked with the town and the community in an open process with what they do; however, trade secrets are different.

Denise McLellan, 17 Hale Road: stated it was Bayer before Siemens, so they haven't been here 30 years. Michael Canary, Siemens representative, stated he was hired by Bayer. It was originally built in 1978 by Corning, which then became Ciba Corning, then Chiron Corporation, Bayer and then Siemens, which is the single largest diagnostic company in the world. Ms. McLellan stated she disagrees with the 30 year statement as there have been changes in 30 years. Mr. Boynton stated the facility has been there for 30 years, just the name changed. Carolyn Mason, counsel for Siemens, stated that management goes back 30 years, but the team keeping it safe has been the same. Mr. Conroy stated that there has been manufacturing in this facility for 30 years. Mr. Boynton stated they given a lot back to the community.

Mr. Mazzocca stated that part of the presentation talked about the requirements for construction and hiring of a third party. He asked where they come from and who pays for that. Mr. Boynton stated the company would pay for it and it would be verified by the us. Much like an engineer, they would present to the Planning Board. Mr. Canary agreed and stated the credentials of the third party would be reviewed by the Board of Health. Atty. Philip Macchi, II stated that the Board of Health would have unlimited discretion to agree to the third party. Mr. Mazzocca asked once the facility is constructed and operating, who monitors. Mr. Boynton stated we took a chapter out of City of Cambridge's book – it will be representatives from the Board of Health and the Board of Health's regulations. Mr. Mazzocca asked if our Board of Health has the capacity to handle something like this. Mr. Boynton stated that Robin has been part of this process and there are also two new candidates with Biotech background. He feels they would be capable. Mr. Mazzocca asked if this is allowed now as a matter of right and Mr. Boynton stated yes, but subject to someone challenging this. A lab that tests blood could possibly need a special permit.

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (5)

Mr. Mazzocca asked if there are any other Level 2 businesses operating in town. Mr. Boynton replied not that he can remember, except maybe Tufts Animal. Mr. Mazzocca asked why the Planning Board is the granting authority just because they are elected. What about the Board of Selectmen? R. Boynton stated the Selectmen never came up.

Mr. Forsberg questioned Article 24. He asked if there was thoughts of having Levels 1, 2, or 3 listed in the Use Table as shown in Article 24. Mr. Boynton stated a Biotech facility operates under the same general definition of principal. After Sam Lipson spoke, the overall fear of Level 1 and 2 were resolved. Article 24, being ahead of Article 25, would mean you can't mention the WBPO in case it doesn't pass in Article 25. It could be a housekeeping article at a subsequent town meeting. In Article 25, Mr. Forsberg asked if they mean site plan approval and Mr. Macchi stated yes. Michael Canary stated that the Center for Disease Control guidelines is very specific. Mr. Forsberg asked if the Building Inspector has to sign off on this. Mr. Canary stated that the CDC is not an inspection authority; they just establish the guidelines. Mr. Boynton stated there are multiple layers of protection including the building inspector, fire department and Board of Health.

Mr. Forsberg questioned the Walpole Biotech Overlay District (WBOD) granting authority and who will give the special permit on the materials. Mr. Boynton stated the Board of Health. Atty. Macchi stated there are two kinds of special permits: those for Level 3 and those that aren't. The Board of Health cannot grant a special permit, just the Planning Board on this type of application. Mr. Conroy agreed with Mr. Forsberg. Mr. Forsberg stated that Level 1 and 2 are allowed and don't require a special permit. He doesn't agree with Mr. Macchi. Mr. Boynton stated that the Planning Board's objective is to issue the permit for the construction of the building to make sure it is done correctly and the materials would be subject to the Board of Health regulations. Mr. Conroy stated that it should state "for materials, see the Board of Health." Mr. Boynton stated it is important that the Zoning Bylaw define what is in there. Mr. Conroy asked that the following be added: "Materials to be determined by the Board of Health".

Nancy Mackenzie, Board of Selectmen: feels Mr. Conroy has a good point and we do need clarification. Mr. Boynton stated he will contact Ilana Quirk in the morning. Mr. Forsberg also asked that Section 9-A be clarified. Mr. Conroy stated he would like to see something that says they must apply for the materials first. Mr. Boynton agrees. They should see the Board of Health before they apply for the special permit.

Joanne Muti, Finance Committee: understands what exists in the zoning bylaw, but right now doesn't agree that it is a matter of right. Mr. Macchi stated he doesn't have all the permits from five years ago with him tonight. They have special permits from both the Planning Board and ZBA and also applied for a TIF, which they received. They feel they are grandfathered. Ms. Muti stated she thinks a Level 2 lab can use Level 3 agents. Mr. Boynton stated he would like someone in the industry to comment on this.

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (6)

Ms. Mackenzie stated the current bylaw was adopted in October 2009. Ms. Muti stated they would like answers. She feels this is less restrictive and feels we shouldn't proceed without looking into that. Mr. Forsberg feels that the Fire Department should be added to Section 9-C-B. Mr. Boynton agreed. Mr. Forsberg questioned the voting process. He asked what if one member is an abutter and one is out sick. Mr. Conroy stated we have the Rule of Necessity which would come into play.

Mr. Murtagh stated he feels that Article 24 is closing the door on the neighbors and would like them to have more input. He feels a Level 2 should come under a special permit as it would allow the neighbors to have more input.

Mr. Nottebart stated that most of his questions have been answered by the questions from the other board members.

Mr. Boynton stated that the Board of Health is having a public hearing on this in two weeks and they have not issued any comments yet.

Mr. Conroy stated that if Article 24 passes at town meeting and Article 25 fails, he feels that Article 24 would then no longer be valid. Mr. Boynton asked if that would be a housekeeping change or a substantive change to the zoning bylaw. Mr. Johnson stated he feels that would come under the repagination section. Mr. Boynton asked if Article 25 fails, could a simple motion be made to reconsider Article 24 for housekeeping. Mr. Conroy stated that could happen, but if it doesn't, the zoning book would be wrong. He also questioned the following: Section 4-E, page 3; Section 7-C: state which board it is, i.e., the Planning Board; Section 8-A: remove SPGA. We also need something to prohibit a Level 4 facility because according to the present zoning bylaw, they could put up a Level 4 now in the HB district. Both Mr. Macchi and Mr. Timson agreed. Mr. Conroy stated that the overlay will take care of Level 3 and there will be no Level 4. Article 24 needs to say "not allowed". What we have now is broad; what is being proposed is specific. Mr. Boynton stated we need to check if anyone is working under this today before we take it out.

Jeff Podell, 6 Sandra Road: works for Quest in Cambridge and has had people question him about Siemens. He read a letter that he sent to the Walpole Times, but it was not published.

Steve Pearlman, MRWA, Canton: concerned as this is an extremely sensitive area of town. Traphole Brook runs through this site and it is the only coldwater trout stream recognized by the State. He submitted a map to the board. He stated he is strongly opposed to any development here that could affect this natural habitat and doesn't feel this would be in compliance with environmental laws.

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (7)

Annmarie Kannally, Queens Court: would like a print out available when we have this type of discussion and feels it should be more readily available to the community. She asked what is presently in the RB zone. Michael Canary stated it is undeveloped at the moment. They will be taking an LM parcel and residential parcel and combining them. A Level 1 and 2 Biotech would be allowed in the entire parcel under the WBOD category. Ms. Kannally questioned the wording "entire parcel". She feels this would affect residential areas and feels we are creating zoning for Siemens. She also questioned a special permit would run with land indefinitely. Mr. Conroy questioned the overlay. He asked if it would be allowed in the residential area if given a special permit and Mr. Macchi stated yes.

C. Smalling, Hale Road: would never have bought this house if she had known this. There are a lot of disabled kids in the neighborhood. She also questioned the use of a third party and their expertise. She asked what they plan to do in a Level 3 facility. Mr. Canary stated they would the credentials reviewed by an independent source. The company asking for the audit always pays the bill. Ms. Smalling doesn't think that would be credible. Mr. Canary stated that swine flu is a Level 3 disease and that is the type of test they would like to develop. Ms. Smalling asked the board if they live on Sandra or Hale Road. She stated the League School and Kindercare are in the area and a daycare center is on Sandra Road. She would leave this area in a heartbeat. Mr. Conroy stated right now there is no protection. If they don't move ahead with this proposed article, Siemens or anyone else could go to a Level 4 now. Ms. Smalling stated it doesn't speak well for the way the town is being run. Mr. Conroy stated that Siemens came forward on their own and they are trying to be a good neighbor.

Susan Shore, Physician, Precinct 8: her concern is the infection agents and radioactivity. Mr. Canary stated they do not produce any radio-immune acids as that technology is fading away. With respect to the agents, they have many precautions in place. They have small quantities of toxic samples on site. Dr. Shore stated she is not talking about just Siemens, but also other facilities that might want to come in the future. Mr. Boynton asked if she did bench testing in the past and she stated yes. Mr. Boynton asked what size or quantity do they use when testing. Dr. Shore stated very small quantities. Mr. Boynton stated that one of his personal fears was the sensationalism. He asked what would be the potential that a neighborhood could become infected or harmed by a virus or aerosol. That is the real fear. He knows the concerns, but would like to know the reality. Dr. Shore stated there are incidents that are not reported. It is not only airborne, but can be in the water. She also questioned whether or the not the two new people on the Board of Health are adequate. She will be at their April 16th meeting. She doesn't think she can answer Mr. Boynton's questions. Mr. Boynton feels that is a fair statement. He is not sure how you make sure the public is assured with what the decision is. Any facility shouldn't have a track record of infecting the neighborhood or their own workers. Mr. Conroy stated that is why we want the Board of Health to address their own materials.

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (8)

Angela Moore, 237 Moosehill Road: questioned the 1993 Level 3 laboratory. Mr. Canary stated they have built it, but it has never been used. It exists, but no activity is going on. Ms. Moore asked how we know it wasn't used?

Michael Moore, 237 Moosehill Road: there is a lot of autism in the area. He feels the board should think long and hard before they agree to anything. He thinks there has been a lot of inconsistencies.

Bill Hamilton, Precinct 5: thinks we should discuss the tax break we gave to Siemens. Mr. Boynton stated he mentioned that earlier tonight. Mr. Hamilton asked if Article 25 prevails at town meeting, it means there will be an increase in the value added to Siemens and their subsidy will increase. He thinks the break was around one million dollars. Mr. Boynton stated it was \$200,000 over ten years and a donation back to the town in the amount of \$10,000. Mr. Conroy stated there will be less value if it doesn't pass. Mr. Hamilton stated if they get the opportunity to do a Level 3, there is a question as to whether or not they can.

Rob DeChristofaro, 306 Moosehill Road: Read from the CDC and John Rogers report which states Level 3 labs should be located away from high-traffic areas.

Deb Burke, Precinct 5: asked if they do their research on animals or just using viruses and germs. Mr. Canary stated no animals. Ms. Burke asked if they brought them in at a Level 3 and Mr. Canary stated no. Ms. Burke asked about delivery people who enter the site. Mr. Canary stated all those jobs have security as there are a number of security systems within the facility. She asked if people take showers when leaving the facility and Mr. Canary stated they wear a full coverall suit and nothing leaving the area would need to be de-contaminated. Ms. Burke stated she is not comfortable that we have good enough knowledge on our boards and until we have people that are very well versed in Biotechnology and Biochemistry we should not go forward. She asked that before the board agrees with anything they be comfortable that we have knowledgeable people. Mr. Timson stated he encourages everyone sitting here tonight that is concerned, that Sam Lipson would like everyone to at least check out their website. They also had a person in from the Department of Public Health. He feels he now has a working knowledge of what is going on and that we have a good handle on this. There is probably three hours worth of material available on the website. We followed Grafton and Cambridge.

Beverly Gotovich, Moosehill Road: asked if the fire department and police department will be trained to handle these emergencies. Mr. Canary stated yes.

Dr. Stone, Precinct 8: still not sure the proper background would be available through the Board of Health members. We need a day-to-day comfort level and this is a complicated situation. She would like people to go to the Board of Health meeting.

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (9)

Denise McLellan, 17 Hale Road: asked if the Planning Board has expertise on this issue. Mr. Conroy stated we only make a recommendation. Town Meeting holds the power; we hold the public hearing. She asked if they need a mask for Level 3 and Mr. Canary stated they don't have any organisms that would require that. Ms. McLellan asked if Level 3 would be live viruses and Mr. Canary stated not necessarily. She asked if Level 3 would require breathing apparatus and Mr. Canary stated it depends on what they are using for what tests they are doing. He stated that Sam Lipson pointed out there is a greater exposure to these viruses at the grocery store. Ms. Mackenzie stated that most of the Planning Board was at Mr. Lipson's presentation.

One of the abutters asked what is the zoning now on Hale and Sandra Road. Mr. Conroy stated RA. The abutter asked if Level 3 would require a rezoning and Mr. Conroy stated no, not on the property they own. They can do a Level 3 on the LM zoned site.

Annmarie Kannally, Queens Court: most of us don't have the background in this industry to oversee this with any authority. These processes should be in place and working well before we go forward. Mr. Boynton understands her point and stated that organogenesis builds skin for burn victims and that is Level 3. In terms of all the concerns about exposure, it is a personal decision on how comfortable you are. The issues that we need to clarify are procedural housekeeping ones. Safety issues have been addressed. Ms. Kannally stated she still questions the perpetuity of a special permit.

Darryl McLellan, 17 Hale Road: questioned studies done on the high rate of autism in this area. Mr. Canary stated he knows nothing about that. Mr. Boynton stated he didn't realize that until tonight. If there is a request, the Board of Health could do a study.

John Zappi, 56 Hale Road: there have been three sudden deaths and six children with autism. Not saying Siemens is to blame, but it would be hard to think our children are paying for the sins of a company. He asked that there be consideration going forward of the environmental impact to the neighborhood. Mr. Conroy stated we are a Planning Board and we don't know anything about Bio levels. It would come to us neatly packaged. We deal with the structure not what goes on inside. It is unique we are somewhat involved. That is why he wants it to go to Board of Health first. The most powerful board in the state is the Board of Health as they can change anything for public health reasons. They are the ones who could re-write the laws tomorrow. We don't control what is inside, the Board of Health does. You wouldn't be here tonight if this wasn't a Biotech company. We deal with buildings, dirt, grass. You people need to go to the Board of Health to get your questions answered. There is nothing we can do except for containment and he just wants the people here tonight to understand what our focus is.

Hennessy, 60 Hale Road: questioned the expansion of a Level 3 area and if Siemens will buy us out when there is a Level 3.

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (10)

Annmarie Kannally, Queens Court: asked if the board is voting tonight on whether or not to allow this zoning change. Mr. Conroy stated the Board of Health can meet right now and say they don't want a Level 4 in the town. Then, it would be done. This is a use before us. She asked what is the process. If we don't forward with Article 25, then there will be nothing for the BOH to change. Mr. Forsberg stated we can't vote on this tonight as there are questions to be answered which may mean changes have to be made. If this building were to change hands, he would like to know who would be there. Mr. Boynton stated the permit is issued to a responsible party.

Mr. Conroy stated there are lots of corrections and he would like to see them first before voting. The rest of the board members agreed. Mr. Boynton stated he trusts the planner has been taking notes so to compare with his. Mr. Conroy stated we will make a recommendation at town meeting. Mr. Macchi submitted some questions he would like submitted to town counsel for answers. Mr. Conroy gave his comments to Mr. Boynton. Mr. Macchi stated that regarding peer review, if it isn't funded, it would be automatic denial.

There were no further comments or questions. Mr. Conroy closed the public hearing.

Commerford's Corner: Mr. Conroy read an email from Peter Commerford dated March 9, 2010. Mr. Conroy asked Mr. Johnson to respond to this email with any information he might have. He also feels we need an update from Mr. Commerford.

CVS: Mr. Conroy stated he feels that CVS won't do what is on the site plan. He asked Mr. Johnson to send a letter to Jack Mee asking that all conditions and special conditions be in place prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy to CVS. This pertains to the drive through also.

Walpole Mall: Mr. Johnson stated that he heard Texas Roadhouse may be coming soon. Mr. Conroy asked if everything is done that should be done. Mr. Johnson stated yes with the exception of possibly Pad 6 as they will have to come back before the board if that changes..

Niden Woods: Ms. Walker stated she observed a detention basin overflowing in this subdivision. Mr. Forsberg asked if it was controlled and Ms. Walker stated it was for the most part. It was agreed that both Ms. Walker and Mr. Johnson would write letters to the Rosenfelds. Mr. Conroy asked that they come into a meeting to update the board.

High Oaks IV: Mr. Forsberg stated they are hauling a lot of stuff out of there. Mr. Conroy asked Mr. Johnson to get a schedule of what is going on and asked him to follow up. He asked that they come to a meeting to update the board.

Farmview Estates: Ms. Walker stated this should probably go forward at the Fall town meeting.

WALPOLE PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF APRIL 1, 2010 (11)

Villa Terrace: Ms. Walker stated there has been no change here. Mr. Conroy asked Mr. Johnson to get them back in here to update the board.

Brush Hill: Mr. Conroy stated we need a letter from Mr. Hasenjaeger on timing and asked Mr. Johnson to follow up. He would also like them to come in to update the board.

Hancock Court: Mr. Conroy asked Mr. Johnson to ask Mr. Sullivan in to update the board.

Mr. Conroy asked that Mr. Johnson contact the applicants from any outstanding subdivisions to ask them to come before the board to update us on what they are presently doing.

It was moved, seconded and voted to adjourn. The meeting adjourned at 11:00 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

John Murtagh, Clerk

Accepted 4/15/10